

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/654,661	09/03/2003	Thomas Fontana	ARZ-023635-11	8644
75	90 09/14/2005		EXAMINER	
Richard C. Stewart, II			ACQUAH, SAMUEL A	
International Par 1422 Long Mea			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tuxedo, NY 1			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 09/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1								
/		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/654,661	FONTANA, THON	MAS				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		SAMUEL A. ACQUAH	1711					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - External after of the control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 rs IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) <u></u> ☐	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-105 is/are pending in the application	١.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-105</u> is/are rejected.							
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, , , , ,		` '				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)					
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		(d) or (i).					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National	Stage				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	The second of the	,				

Application/Control Number: 10/654,661 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 28, 39, 41, 68, 70, 72, 74, and 75 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. See the explanations below.
- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 05/03/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants' argue that there is no basis for the indefiniteness rejection applied herein. It is the Examiner's position that the arguments are not convincing. As indicated in the previous Office Action, the recitation "up to" includes zero amounts, thus making the component an optional component. For example, claim 28 recites that the fatty acid constitutes "up to 65wt% of the reactants". This recitation means that the amount of said acid is "0-65wt%". When the amount of the acid is zero percent then the acid is non-existent in the composition, or in other words it is an optional component. However, the independent claim 1 from which claim 28 depends requires that said acid be present at all times. In other words, said acid cannot be an optional component. Thus claim 28, as well as the other, claims does not further limit the claims from which they depend.
- 3. Claims 52 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,661

Art Unit: 1711

The claims recite "Monomer". There is no specific monomer mentioned and it is not clear what Applicants intend thereby.

Page 3

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 5. Claims 1-105 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-29 of copending Application No. 10/384,075. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the explanations as indicated in the previous Office Action. Please note that the phenolic compound that is at least trifunctional with respect to reactivity with aldehyde may be 100% phenol. This is similarly the same situation with the co-pending application. Thus, the two applications are obviously the same and patentably indistinguishable.
- 6. This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.
- 7. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,661

Art Unit: 1711

8. Claims 1-105 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bender et al '932.

Page 4

The disclosures of the cited prior art has been discussed in the previous Office Action. Once again, it is herein being pointed out that the cited prior art discloses method and composition for preparing oil-soluble, phenol resin-modified natural resinic acid esters which are able to form self-gelling mineral oil solutions, and are obtained from components A) – G). Specific columns where these components are disclosed and the markings in the columns have been shown, see the copy of the reference in the PTO-892.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAMUEL A. ACQUAH whose telephone number is 571-272-1065. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH, FRIDAYS OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JAMES SEIDLECK can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,661

Art Unit: 1711

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S.A.A. 08/29/05

> SAMUEI/A. ACQUAH PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1280 / 700